



472088

## PHONE CONVERSATION RECORD

BETWEEN PAT CHURILLA, U.S. EPA, CRL AND LIZ UHL, WWES

DATE: 2-12-93

RE: CASE 18937 AND CASE 19063, SAS 7597E

Pat called regarding the letters that I sent him on January 20 and February 4, 1993 pertaining to these cases.

Regarding Case 19063, SAS 7597E, he personally looked at the chromatograms for the three samples (EMC19, EMC21, and EMC24) containing heptachlor. He indicated that they definitely are heptachlor peaks. He wanted to know if I still wanted the hard copy information requested in my January 20 letter and I told him I didn't think it was necessary. If he was confident, then I was. Yesterday, I had also received some data from ground water samples collected from monitoring wells at the site and the background location monitoring well also contained heptachlor. That additional piece of data made me more confident about the heptachlor in the residential wells.

Regarding Case 18937, he indicated that the dilutions in the VOCs, SVOCs, and pesticide/PCB analyses were largely due to big hydrocarbon TIC hits. They usually dilute in that case to confirm that the TIC hits are not some other compound of interest. He indicated that EMC46 was not diluted but rather, it consisted of 50% water/50% soil. (I want to pursue this further with our sampler). He indicated that for EMC47, we should use the diluted analyses.

If we have two analyses (one undiluted and one diluted) with no detects in either, we can report the undiluted analyses.

He also indicated that he would FAX the missing data sheet from this case, the pesticide analysis for EMC99. I have already received this.

cc: Wayne Schalk, WWES

(Mary Beth Novy, U.S. EPA)

04011, 32